

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,629	02/26/2004	Jae Yun Hwang		3080
DOHYUN PAI	7590 01/07/2008		EXAM	INER ·
200 Old Palisade road, Apt. #2H			TOMPKINS, ALISSA JILL	
Fort Lee, NJ 07	7024		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		$\langle \gamma \rangle$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,629	HWANG, JAE YUN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alissa J. Tompkins	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed no the mailing date of this commuED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruarv 2004.		
_	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pr		erits is
Disposition of Claims			•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	e: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/26/2004</u> .	6) Other:		

10/786,629 Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant uses claim language that is unclear. The claim language " and so on," "user wants are printed," are confusing to the examiner. The claim language needs to be clearly presented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fosher (U.S. 4,386,437) in view of Harris (U.S. 4,499,741). Fosher discloses a baseball style cap 1 comprising a crown main body 3 having a plurality of panels including four

Application/Control Number:

10/786,629 Art Unit: 3765

rear panels 5 of nylon mesh material, a front panel 7, a visor/brim portion 37 secured to a forward portion of a peripheral edge of the crown main body and extending outwardly therefrom (Figures 1, 2, and 6). A headband 41 is attached along the lower peripheral edge of the crown.

However, Fosher is missing a headband that has indicia on it that can be seen through the mesh material of the cap. Harris shows a stretchable knitted article/headband 20 that has a design printed on it. It is well known that any type of indicia could be used such as logos, numbers, pictures, figures, embroidery etc. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the headband of Harris to modify the headband of Fosher in order to provide a cap with mesh panels having a printed headband design underneath.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Judge (U.S. 5,729,833), Boughten (U.S. 4,989,270), Clingenpeel (U.S. 5,855,023), Cho (U.S. 2005/0223474), and Lewis (U.S. D370,772) show hats having mesh material. Nadeau (U.S. D538,514) and Rendina (U.S. 4,918,758) show headbands with indicia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alissa J. Tompkins whose telephone number is 571-272-3425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 830-5.

Application/Control Number:

10/786,629

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on 571-272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alissa Tompkins Patent Examiner Art Unit 3765 January 3, 2008

AJT

GARY IL WELCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700